

## **L'inconscio. Rivista italiana di filosofia e psicoanalisi**

### **PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT**

All parties involved in the act of publishing (the authors, the journal directors, the editor in chief, the editorial staff, the peer reviewers) must agree upon standard of expected ethical behavior. The ethic statements of *L'inconscio. Rivista Italiana di Filosofia e Psicoanalisi* are based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Code of Conduct guidelines available at <http://publicationethics.org/>

Authors who submit papers to *L'inconscio. Rivista Italiana di Filosofia e Psicoanalisi* attest that their manuscripts is original and unpublished, and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Furthermore, authors confirm that their manuscripts is their own; that it has not been copied or plagiarized, in whole or in part; and that they have disclosed actual or potential conflicts of interest with their work or partial benefits associated with it.

### **DUTIES OF EDITORS**

#### **Publication decisions**

The editor of each single issue is responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editor must take into account the evaluation made by the reviewers in making this decision. The editor may confer with the directions, the editor in chief and the editorial staff. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

#### **Fair play**

The editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the author(s).

### **Confidentiality**

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

### **Disclosure and conflicts of interest**

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

## **DUTIES OF REVIEWERS**

### **Contribution to editorial decisions**

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and, through the editorial communication with the author, may also assist the author in improving the paper.

### **Promptness**

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that it is not possible to meet the deadline, should immediately notify the editor so that the paper can be sent to another reviewer.

### **Confidentiality**

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

### **Standards of objectivity**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author(s) is unacceptable. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting argument.

### **Acknowledgement of Sources**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the Editor in Chief's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

### **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers

## **DUTIES OF AUTHORS**

### **Reporting standards**

Authors reporting results of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable

### **Originality and plagiarism**

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted

### **Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication**

Any attempt of plagiarism should be followed by the rejection of the submitted manuscript. Authors should not submit the same work or describe essentially the same research in more than one journal. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior, unless otherwise specified

### **Acknowledgement of sources**

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should also cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work

### **Authorship of the Paper**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. All co-authors must be clearly indicated at the time of paper submission.

### **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest**

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

### **Fundamental errors in published works**

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal's editor or publisher and cooperate with them to either retract the paper or to publish an appropriate correction statement or erratum.